

were observed directly at 15, 22 and 30 weeks of age. At each age, the observations were carried out by viewing the birds for 20 mins from three different target areas (see Fig. 1). The pecks observed were classified as aggressive, severe, mild or vent pecks. At 30 weeks of age a random sample of birds were caught and inspected for feather damage. Each bird was subjectively scored between 0 (perfect plumage) and 3 (extensive bald areas), separately for the head, neck, breast, tail and back regions. Eggs were also collected from each perchery over a 5-day period when the birds were 23 weeks old, and then again at 27 weeks of age. The total number of eggs collected over the 5 days was recorded.

The results showed an increase in mild feather pecking as flock size and stocking density increased. Mild pecking also increased with bird age and was most frequently observed on the perchery floor. The biggest increases occurred between 15 and 22 weeks at 6 and 30 birds. m⁻², and between 22 and 30 weeks at 14 and 22 birds. m⁻². Severe pecking was infrequent, especially at the lower flock sizes and stocking densities, but was most likely to occur near the nest boxes. It should be noted that at 15 weeks, birds housed at 30 birds. m⁻² tended to perform more severe feather pecks than birds housed at any other stocking density. However, this trend was not correlated with increasing bird age. Aggressive pecking was significantly higher in birds housed at 6 birds. m⁻² than in birds at 22 or 30 birds. m⁻² both at 22 and 30 weeks of age. The plumage condition of birds housed at 6 birds. m⁻² was significantly better than birds housed at other stocking densities, and plumage condition worsened with increasing flock size and stocking density. Egg production was greatest in 6 birds. m⁻² at 23 weeks than at other stocking densities.

A surprising age related change that occurred in this study was an increase in aggressive pecking. In related studies it is found that there is usually an initial period of high aggression, followed by establishment of a dominance hierarchy that results in a decrease in aggressive pecking. In this study, the greatest increase in aggression occurred in the smallest flock size (i.e. aggression decreased as flock size increased). A possible explanation may be that these birds were attempting to form a hierarchy but had difficulty in recognising and remembering individuals, thus leading to an increase in aggression. Other studies (Hughes et al., 1997) have indicated that chickens in large flock sizes adopt a strategy of non-recognition and do not attempt to form social relationships. This could explain why birds in this study were less aggressive as flock size and stocking density increased.

Another surprising finding was that the number of mild pecks made on the floor did not increase with flock size and density. This is interesting, as intuitively one would assume that as the amount of floor space available for ground pecking decreased, (due to increased stocking density), the amount of feather pecking would increase. A possible explanation is that, with increased stocking density, the light intensity at the floor level is reduced which may in turn reduce the attractiveness of feathers as pecking stimuli. However, a study conducted by Kjaer & Vestergaard (1999) which compared feather pecking at high (30 lux) and low (3lux) light intensities, indicated that 'gentle' pecking actually increased at low light intensities. It is clear that this is an area of research that still requires considerable work; further studies could employ a wider range of light intensities and different types of lighting such as incandescent versus fluorescent (Davis, Prescott, Savory & Wathes, 1999).

Carmichael, Walker & Hughes (1999) showed that when flock size is held constant but pen area is decreased there is no increase in feather pecking of mature birds. The current study demonstrates that feather pecking increases when stocking density is increased by increasing flock size within a given area. This suggests that flock size rather than stocking density may be the important controlling factor in relation to feather pecking. Findings by Hansen & Braastad (1994) support this hypothesis, as they showed that juvenile birds demonstrated increased feather pecking when flock size was increased within a constant pen area. However, findings from Savory, Mann & Macleod (1999) indicate that changes in both pen area and flock size play a part in influencing feather pecking in relation to stocking density. Further research in this area could compare age-related changes in feather pecking with respect to stocking density, (where stocking density is manipulated by both flock size and pen area). Feather pecking is a widely researched area that has important welfare and economic implications. Many studies are being performed which relate feather pecking to dietary

composition, lighting, flock size and stocking density, litter substrate etc. Some of these studies produce inconclusive or contradictory results, or conclude with suggestions that are not commercially and economically viable. This emphasises the need for studies to be replicated and performed in a commercial-like setting in order to determine the best overall environment for minimal feather pecking, which will in turn enhance poultry welfare and economic productivity.

References

Carmichael, N.L., Walker, A.W. & Hughes, B.O., 1999. Laying hens in large flocks in a perchery system: influence of stocking density on location, use of resources and behaviour. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 40, 565-572. Davis, N.J., Prescott, N.B., Savory, C.J. & Wathes, C.M., 1999. Preferences of growing fowls for different light intensities in relation to age, strain and behaviour. *Animal Welfare.* 8, 193-203.

Hansen, I. & Braastad, B.O., 1994. Effect of rearing density on pecking behaviour and plumage condition of laying hens in 2 types of aviary. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 263-272. Cited by Nicol, C.J., Gregory, N.G., Knowles, T.G., Parkman, I.D. & Wilkins, L.J., 1999. Differential effects of increased stocking density, mediated by increased flock size, on feather pecking and aggression in laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 65, 137-152.

Hughes, B.O., Carmichael, N.L., Walker, A.W. & Grigor, P.N., 1997. Low incidence of aggression in large flocks of laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 54, 215-234. Cited by Nicol, C.J., Gregory, N.G., Knowles, T.G., Parkman, I.D. & Wilkins, L.J., 1999. Differential effects of increased stocking density, mediated by increased flock size, on feather pecking and aggression in laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 65, 137-152.

Kjaer, J.B. & Vestergaard, K.S., 1999. Development of feather pecking in relation to light intensity. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 62, 243-254.

Nicol, C.J., Gregory, N.G., Knowles, T.G., Parkman, I.D. & Wilkins, L.J., 1999. Differential effects of increased stocking density, mediated by increased flock size, on feather pecking and aggression in laying hens. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 65, 137-152.

Savory, C.J., Mann, J.S. & Macleod, M.G., 1999. Incidence of pecking damage in growing bantams in relation to food form, group size, stocking density, dietary tryptophan concentration and dietary protein source. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 40, 579-584.